## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

## DURHAM SCHOOL SERVICES, L.P. Employer

and

Case 32-RC-150090

TEAMSTERS LOCAL 853, INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS, CHANGE TO WIN

Petitioner

## **ORDER**

The Employer's Request for Review of the Regional Director's Decision and Certification of Representative is denied as it raises no substantial issues warranting review.<sup>1</sup>

KENT Y. HIROZAWA, MEMBER

LAUREN McFERRAN, MEMBER

Member Miscimarra, dissenting:

The Employer argues that the Board's Final Rule on representation case procedures violated its procedural due process rights and otherwise prejudiced the Employer, and frustrated employees' Section 7 rights. I expressed my disagreement with these procedures in my dissent to the Final Rule. 79 Fed.Reg. 74308, at 77430-74460 (Dec. 15, 2014) (dissenting views of Members Miscimarra and Johnson). In the instant case, for similar reasons, I would grant the Employer's Request for Review on the basis that it raises substantial questions regarding the effect and application of the Board's Final Rule. See *Pulau Corporation*, 363 NLRB No. 8 (2015) (Member Miscimarra, dissenting). In all other respects, I join my colleagues in denying review.

PHILIP A. MISCIMARRA, MEMBER

Dated, Washington, D.C., November 4, 2015.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In denying the Employer's Request for Review, we agree with the Regional Director that the Employer untimely raised its argument challenging the validity of the Board's revised representation case procedures.